





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/667,301	09/25/2000	Hideo Watanabe	Q60969	1597	
7590 10/09/2003			EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW			HUNTER, ALVIN A		
Washington, D			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Ç ,			3711		
			D. TE 14.44 ED. 10400400	_	

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	d				
	_							
. Office Action Summary		09/667,301	WATANABE ET AL.					
		Examiner Alvin A. Hunter	Art Unit					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed on of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S	September 2000 .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-fina	I.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
·	on of Claims							
	4) Claim(s) 1 and 6-18 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6) Claim(s) 1 and 6-18 is/are rejected.							
<u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requireme	erit.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action	٦.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 0	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
		•		otion\				
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
Attachmen								
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:	_•				

Application/Control Number: 09/667,301

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 6-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higuchi et al. (JP 11-253578) in view of Rajagopalan (USPN 6187864).

Higuchi et al. discloses a golf ball having favorable carrying characteristics, soft feeling, and excellent spin characteristics. The golf ball comprises a solid core, intermediate layer, and cover. The solid core has a diameter of 28 or more, a deflection of 3.2 to 5.2mm from applying a load of 130kg to 10kg, and Shore D hardness on the front face of 30 to 55. It is noted that the difference of a point measured at random and the front face should be no more than 10 degrees. The intermediate layer has a Shore D hardness of 20 to 50, a thickness of 0.5 to 2.5mm, a specific gravity of 1.1 or more, and a deflection of 3.2 to 5.2mm from applying a load of 130 kg to 10kg. Being that the deflection ranges of the intermediate layer and the core are of the same range, it is implied, or inherent, within the reference that the ratio between the two are at least 1. The intermediate layer is also formed of a polyurethane resin, a thermoplastic resin, in which contains a thermoplastic polyurethane elastomer. It is also noted that the polyurethane may be blended with materials such as polyamide, polyester, ionomer, etc. The cover has a Shore D hardness of 45 to 68. Higuchi et al. does not disclose the

Application/Control Number: 09/667,301

Art Unit: 3711

intermediate layer being mainly composed of a polyamide. Rajagopalan discloses a composition having polyamide in amounts of 100% to 1% blended with ionomer in order to give a golf ball distance, durability and spinning characteristics (See Background of the Invention). Rajagopalan also notes that the composition may be use for golf ball intermediate layers and may be blended with materials such as polyester and polyurethane (See Column 11, lines 15 through 29). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have an intermediate layer composed primarily of polyamide, as taught by Rajagopalan, in order to give create an intermediate layer of desired durability and to give the golf ball the spin and distance desired by the inventor.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1 and 6-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/667,301

Art Unit: 3711

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306-5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell, can be reached on (703) 308-2126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

Paul T. Sowell
Supervisory Fatora Examiner
Group 8700

Page 4